# Microchip Report 2004 (2003 figures in brackets)

A total of 45 (29) reports have been received during 2004. These can be broken down as follows:

evis.				
•	Migration	32 (12)		
•	Lost	5 (6)		
•	Failed	$4(6)^2$		
•	Swelling	1 (3)		

• Tumour 0 (1)

Infection 2 (1)Hair loss 1 (0)

### Migration reports by supplier:

•	Bayer	16
•	Avid	1
•	Animalcare	2
•	Pet ID	1
•	Virbac	3
•	Pet Detect	2
•	Unknown	7

#### Reaction analysed by suppler are divided as:

•	Bayer	20 (10)
•	Avid	1 (5)
•	Animalcare	7 (2)
•	Pet ID	1 (2)
•	Virbac	4(1)
•	Pet detect	2 (-)
•	Unknown	10 (9)

## Time to reaction

• 0-1 mth 3 (6)

1 mth- 1yr
1-3 yrs
3 yrs +
Not Specified
(7)
8 (8)
(8)

#### Particular issues

1) Conflict between advise from manufacturer and DEFRA where a microchip has "failed"

- 2) No tumour reports so far this year
- 3) One young kitten implanted intracerebrally
- 4) Reporting bias one individual has sent in 4 reports in 2004 and another 3 reports

FN / 19 March 2007

<sup>1</sup> Once again the reports include an account of a second microchip migrating to the same location as the first.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Some reports of microchip "failure" may be misleading as the reader may be of a type which can not identify the type of microchip concerned